## <u>Wireless sensor networks:</u> <u>Networking support for query</u> processing in sensor networks



Alec Woo, Sam Madden, Ramesh Govindan June 2004 **Communications of the ACM**, Volume 47 Issue 6



#### **1. introduction**

**2. In-Network Querying Systems** 

**3. Novel Networking Mechanisms** 

4. Toward a Unified In-Network System



- Query processing systems
  - Directed Diffusion
  - TinyDB
  - Cougar
- Provide high-level interface.
- Especially attractive as ways to efficiently implement monitoring applications.



- Researchers are beginning to formulate languages and enumerate the types of queries needed by users of sensor networks.
- Distributed query processing used to store or retrieve data from nodes within the network.
- The performance and functionality is significantly affected by internode communication mechanisms.



- Different applications have widely varying requirements
  - information-transfer rates
  - timeliness
  - quality
  - storage
- A substantial software engineering challenge
  - Subsystems must be reusable in isolation from one another.

### In-Network Querying Systems: TinyDB (cont.)

- Several in-network querying systems have been built for sensor networks
- In TinyDB, users specify declarative queries that reflect their data processing needs.
- Queries are written in a SQL-like language.

### In-Network Querying Systems: TinyDB (cont.)

#### • Example:

SELECT AVG(temp) FROM sensors WHERE loc in (0,0,100,100) and light > 1000 lux SAMPLE PERIOD 10 seconds

Report the average temperature of the bright nodes in the region defined by the rectangle(0,0,100,100)

### In-Network Querying Systems: TinyDB (cont.)



#### In-Network Querying Systems: TinyDB

- Queries in TinyDB are flooded throughout the network.
- Query answers are collected via a routing tree with the root node being the endpoint of the query.
- Every other nodes maintains a parent node one step closer to the root.



### In-Network Querying Systems: Directed Diffusion (COnt.)

- Directed Diffusion does not specify a query language.
- It allowing application writers to choose a domainspecific query processing language.
- Diffusion focuses on two other dimensions of query processing.
  - Query routing mechanisms
  - flexible in-network processing

### In-Network Querying Systems: Directed Diffusion (Cont.)

- Queries in the network are described by interest messages.
- Interests contain the particulars of the query, expressed through attribute value pairs.
- Example:

location=[(100,100),(10,200)], temperature=[10,20]

Request

location=[125,15], **Return** temperature=13

### In-Network Querying Systems: Directed Diffusion (CONt.)



Distributed hop-by-hop throughout the sensor network.

Data-centric routing.

Nodes build routing tables used to return data.

### In-Network Querying Systems: Directed Diffusion (COnt.)

- Routing algorithm:
  - Two-Phase Pull Diffusion
  - One-Phase Pull Diffusion
  - Push Diffusion

protocol	sink	source
two-phase	interest*	
pull	(every interest interval)	
		exploratory data*
		(every exploratory interval)
	positive reinforcement	
	(response to exp. data)	
		data
		(rate defined by app.)
one-phase	interest*	
pull	(every interest interval)	
		data
push		exploratory data*
		(every exploratory interval)
	positive reinforcement	
	(response to exp. data)	
		data

#### In-Network Querying Systems: Directed Diffusion

- Like TinyDB, Diffusion permits in-network processing.
- Unlike TinyDB, in which the set of available in-network operators is fixed by the query language.
- In-network processing in Diffusion requires application-specific code residing in network nodes.

- Five dimensions:
  - Scope
  - volume
  - complexity
  - timeless
  - quality
- These dimensions demarcate the types of design options for networking support of query processing in sensor network.

Dimensions	Descriptions	
Scope	Determines which nodes are involved in processing queries. Global scope involves the entire network. Geographical scope involves a particular geographic region. Logical scope involves nodes that satisfy a certain predicate (such as having a chemical sensor of a certain kind).	
Volume	Communication costs per unit time of query responses. Large-volume queries involve high-fidelity or frequent sampling across many nodes. Low-volume queries need only low sample rates or aggregate answers.	
Complexity	Multiple concurrent queries. Multiphase queries: Output of the first phase (such as computing the average) is required in the second phase (such as identifying outliers) Multiresolution queries: Sensor data is archived and queried at different levels of detail along either spatial or temporal dimensions.	
Timeliness	Tolerance to delays between an event and when it is reported.	
Quality or Accuracy	Quality of the query responses (such as percentage of missing readings) that can affect overall communication and systems resources.	



	Scope	Volume	Complexity	Timeliness and Quality
Query Processing	Scope based	Large	Multiple, multiphase,	Selective:
Needs	on semantic value	and small	multiresolution	latency vs. accuracy
Current Networking	Broadcast (global	Small	Single, simple selections,	Best effort for every
Support	or hop-count based)		and aggregations	packet

Two emerging directions in networking research

Query-informed routing

Efficient rendezvous for storage and correlation



### • Query-informed routing.



- Today's query processing systems use tree-like routing structures to resolve queries.
- Semantic broadcast.
- Parent selection.



• Example

- allows query dissemination to be scoped to nodes whose readings are within a particular range.

- Discover querying paths to nodes close to the target by optimizing an objective function that balances the usefulness of the sensor data and the corresponding communication costs along the paths.





- How the application and the network itself might balance the stability and speed of topology adaptation, or agility.
- porous layering
  - -allows more control information to permeate throughout the network to improve system efficiency.





System software must be designed using porous layering



• Efficient rendezvous for storage and correlation.



• As sensor networks scale to many simultaneous queries the overhead in terms of energy and network capacity of flooding can be significant.

• Query flooding is necessary to ensure the query originator rendezvous with nodes that might contain data matching the query.



 One approach to achieving this efficient rendezvous is to map, or hash, the predicate P to a geographic location L and store data matching the predicate at the node whose location is closest to L.



- Development of hierarchical storage structures that allow more sophisticated queries.
- Support multidimensional range queries
- Example

List all events whose temperature is between 50° and 60°, and whose light level is between 1,000 and 1,500 lux using essentially a distributed index.



## Toward a Unified In-Network System (cont.)

• Application-specific dependency, together with the need to reuse code, suggests that future sensor network query processing systems will be structured in a modular fashion.

## Toward a Unified In-Network System (cont.)





#### • The End